Long-proboscid brachyceran flies in Cretaceous amber
(Diptera: Stratiomyomorpha: Zhangsolvidae)
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Abstract. The monophyletic family Zhangsolvidae comprises stout-bodied brachyceran flies with a long proboscis and occurring only in the Cretaceous, originally known in shale from the Early Cretaceous Laiyang Formation (Fm.) in China (Zhangsolvina Nagatomi & Yang), subsequently from limestones of the Early Cretaceous Crato Fm. of Brazil. Cratomyoides Wilkommen is synonymized with Cratomyia Mazzarolo & Amorim, both from the Crato Fm.; Cratomyiidae is synonymized with Zhangsolvidae. Two genera and three species of Zhangsolvidae are described: Buccinatormyia magnifica Arillo, Peñalver & Pérez-de la Fuente, gen. et sp.n. and B. soplaensis Arillo, Peñalver & Pérez-de la Fuente, sp.n., in Albian amber from Las Peñosas Fm. in Spain; and Linguatormyia teleacta Grimaldi, gen. et sp.n., in Upper Albian–Lower Cenomanian amber from Hukawng Valley in Myanmar. Buccinatormyia soplaensis and Linguatormyia teleacta are unique among all Brachycera, extant or extinct, by their remarkably long, flagellate antennae, about 1.6× the body length in the latter species. A phylogenetic analysis of 52 morphological characters for 35 taxa is presented, 11 taxa being Cretaceous species, which supports placement of the family within Stratiomyomorpha, although not to any particular family within the infraorder.

This published work has been registered in Zoobank, http://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:F32CF887-7C37-45D5-BD6B-135FE9B729A7.

Introduction

The Zhangsolvidae is an extinct family of brachyceran flies erected by Nagatomi & Yang (1998) for Zhangsolvina cupressa (Zhang, Zhang & Li), preserved in shale from the Early Cretaceous of China. The family was subsequently found to include two species of Cratomyia from the Aptian-aged Crato Formation limestone of Brazil (Mazzarolo & Amorim, 2000; Wilkommen & Grimaldi, 2007). The genus Cratomyia was placed in the infraorder Stratiomyomorpha by Mazzarolo & Amorim (2000), a basal lineage of Brachycera that includes three living families: the Stratiomyidae Latreille, a cosmopolitan group comprising 2651 species in 375 genera (Woodley, 2001); the Xylomyidae Verrall, a small cosmopolitan family comprising approximately 138 species in four genera (Woodley, 2011); and the Pantophthalmidae Bigot, a small neotropical family of very large flies with 20 species in two genera (Val, 1976). Several other late Mesozoic families have been suggested to be within this infraorder, but their relationships remain poorly understood. These families include Eremochaetidae Ussatchov, Tethepomyiidae Grimaldi & Arillo
and, perhaps, Oligophrynidae Rohendorf (Krzemieński & Krzemieńska, 2003; Grimaldi & Arillo, 2008), although the focus of this paper is on the position of Zhangsolvidae.

In this paper we describe four new Cretaceous specimens of Zhangsolvidae, three of them originally found in the same amber piece from the Spanish locality of El Soplao, plus one specimen from Burmese amber. The El Soplao outcrop, Albian in age, was recently discovered in Spain (Najarro et al., 2009, 2010; Peñalver & Delclós, 2010). To date, insects belonging to 13 orders and about 40 families are preserved in this amber (Pérez-de la Fuente, 2012). In the order Diptera, the families Atelestidae, Cecidomyiidae, Ceratopogonidae, Chimeromyiidae, Chironomidae, Dolichopodidae, Hybotidae, Phoridae, Psychodidae and Rhagionidae have been found (ibid.), although only ceratopogonids have been studied (Pérez-de la Fuente et al., 2011).

Burmese amber contains probably the most diverse palaeo-biota of the seven major world deposits of amber from the Cretaceous Period, with approximately 228 families of organisms (primarily arthropods) thus far reported, Diptera being especially diverse (Zherikhin & Ross, 2000; Grimaldi et al., 2002; Ross et al., 2010). Burmese amber contains, for instance, the oldest definitive Mesozoic record of mosquitoes (Culicidae) (Borkent & Grimaldi, 2004). Estimated age of ‘burmite’ was recently established as the Albian–Cenomanian boundary, (Borkent & Grimaldi, 2004). Estimated age of ‘burmite’ was recently established as the Albian–Cenomanian boundary (Pérez-de la Fuente et al., 2011).

The Burmese amber specimen is in the American Museum of Natural History, New York, and was purchased in 2012 from Mr. Sieghard Ellenberger, Germany, who obtained the amber from mines near Myitkyina, Kachin Province, northern Myanmar (see Grimaldi et al., 2002). The amber surfaces lying over the dorsal and ventral portions of the fly were slightly flattened using a lapidary wheel; there was no other preparation. The amber piece is occluded with a turbid suspension of particles, so in order to visualize critical details it was CT scanned at the Cornell Biotechnology Resource Center Imaging Facility.

The Burmese specimen scanning was performed using a Zeiss VERSA XRM-520 instrument. For each dataset, 2400 X-Ray projections were obtained at 0.15° intervals over 360° using 60 kV, 32 μA and 5000 ms exposure time, with a 2048 × 2048 pixel detector using a 1.5 μm pixel size that was 2 × 2 binned into an effective pixel size of 3.0 μm. Proper placement of the specimen was accomplished with the assistance of X-Ray fluoroscopy mode, to ensure that the area of interest was included within the scanning field of view. The projection views were used to reconstruct a CT image using a convolution back-projection approach implemented in 3D, giving a 3 × 3 × 3 mm volume of image data with 3 μm isotropic voxels in arbitrary density units (ADU) and exported as DICOM or TIFF stacks. Reconstructed data files were imported into the software programs OsiriX (64 bit, v 5.5) and Avizo 8.0 (VSG), where they were visualized and analysed using a variety of 2D and 3D techniques.

Fly morphological terminology generally follows McAlpine (1981). We do not use the term postpedicel, used by some dipterists to refer to that portion of the antenna distal to the pedicel, because by definition this is the flagellum. Szucsich & Krenn (2000, 2002) introduced the term ventral rostral membrane, which we use here, referring to the pleated membrane at the base of the proboscis.

Although the three Spanish amber specimens are syninclusions, the gender of only one of them is definitive. Because another specimen is substantially larger but lacks terminalia (and has significant differences in venation and antennal structure), the lengths of the thorax and wing in 34 exemplar extant species of Stratiomyidae, Xyloomyiidae and Pantophthalmalidae were measured for at least one male and one female, to test for the presence/extent of sexual dimorphism in body size. We used pinned specimens from the AMNH collection for these measurements. For the first two of these families the measurements were made using a Nikon SMZ1500 stereomicroscope and NIS Elements software; measurements of Pantothalmalidae were made using a millimetre ruler because of their large sizes.

Phylogenetic analysis used morphological characters from exemplar extant and fossil stratemyomorphans, as well as all species of Zhangsolvidae, analysed under equal-weights parsimony with the program TNT (Goloboff et al., 2008). Parameters employed an ‘XMULT’ search, which uses sectorial searching, tree drifting, ratcheting and fusing. The search ran until the optimal tree score was found 100× independently, whereupon all lowest-cost topologies were consolidated into a strict consensus. Consistency and retention indices were calculated, along with Bremer and bootstrap support values (with 10,000 pseudoreplicates).
Systematic palaeontology

Order Diptera Linnaeus, 1758
Infraorder Stratatomyomorpha Hennig, 1973

Family Zhangsolvidae Nagatomi & Yang, 1998
Cratomyiidae Mazzarolo & Amorim, 2000: 94. Type genus and species: Cratomyia macrorrhyncha Mazzarolo & Amorim (by original designation). NEW SYNONYMY.


Diagnosis (emended). Proboscis rather long to very long (from 1 to about 4 times the head length), slender, apex with small labelium; vein C ending at or near apex of wing, M₁ strongly arched, M₂ fused to CuA₁ and CuA₂ fused to A₁ near wing margin.

Remarks. We have refrained from including the following characters in the family diagnosis above, as they are not known from all the zhangsolvid genera (only from half or less of the species, mainly the ones preserved in amber), but we posit that they could be of importance to characterize the family: (i) maxillary palp two-segmented, long and projecting (observed in Buccinatormyia magnaica gen. et sp.n. and Linguatormyia teletacta gen. et sp.n., tentatively observed in Cratomyia macrorrhyncha, see Mazzarolo & Amorim, 2000), (ii) tibial spurs 0, 2, 2 (only observed in B. magnaica), and (iii) pulvilli and empodium well developed, pulvilliiform (idem). Some zhangsolvids, i.e. Buccinotormyia solaensis sp.n. and L. teletacta, are readily recognizable by showing remarkable flagellate antennae that can be more than 1.5 times longer than the body (in the latter species).

The species Archisolva cupressa was described by Zhang et al. (1993) from the Early Cretaceous Laiyang Formation in Liaiyang, Shandong Province, China, originally placed in the family Solvidae (=Xylomyidae). Later, Nagatomi & Yang (1998) proposed a replacement name, as the generic name was already occupied by Archisolva Enderlein (Stratotomyidae). They provided the new name Zhangsolvina and considered that, even though the genus was close to Stratatomyidae, it represented a new family. Diagnostic characters of the new family Zhangsolvidae proposed by Nagatomi & Yang (1998) were: (i) long basal section of Rs, (ii) antennal flagellum 12-segmented, (iii) proboscis conspicuously long and (iv) costal cell concave before Rs, possibly representing a sc-r crossovein. The holotype was not studied by Nagatomi & Yang, so they assumed that the original illustrations were accurately drawn. Unfortunately, the holotype of Z. cupressa (a unique specimen) is currently lost (Dr H.-C. Zhang, personal communication to A.A., 2011), which is why we were unable to restudy the holotype. According to the ICZN code, dramatic taxonomic changes would be necessary for the designation of a new type specimen of the family (from a different type genus), which we have not made in case the lost specimen eventually appears in a Chinese collection, or a new specimen (and potential neotype) is excavated from the Laiyang Formation.

Later, Mazzarolo & Amorim (2000) described Cratomyia macrorrhyncha, from the Early Cretaceous Crato Formation, Brazil, for which they proposed the family Cratomyiidae. Their study revealed that Cratomyia was a sister group to (Xylomyidae + Stratotomyidae), but these authors had not taken into account Zhangsolvina cupressa. The most recent contribution on this group of flies was the description of Cratomyoides cretacicus Wilkommen (in Wilkommen & Grimaldi, 2007), a fossil fly also found in the Crato Formation and very similar to Cratomyia. In that paper the authors recognized the close relationship among Zhangsolvina, Cratomyia and Cratomyoides. Recently, Grimaldi et al. (2011) suggested that all of these fossils should be included in the family Zhangsolvidae (with Cratomyidae as a junior synonym). They also suggested that Cratomyoides is a junior synonym of Cratomyia, but they did not formally synonymize these taxa. In the same work the authors also indicated that several features in the original description of Zhangsolvina were probably erroneous: (i) parts of the wing venation are obvious artefacts, such as a sc-r crossovein, which is present in only one wing (as seen in the photograph of the holotype in the original paper), and (ii) the antennae probably have no more than eight flagellomeres (the ground plan in Brachycera), a misinterpretation perhaps originating from preservation (e.g. transverse cracks in carboneous films commonly occur in compression-fossil insects preserved in rock). Furthermore, the long basal section of Rs proposed as diagnostic for Zhangsolvidae for Nagatomi & Yang (1998) is quite short in the new Burmese amber species. Hence, the conspicuously long proboscis is the only character that we have retained here from the original diagnosis of the family.

Genus Cratomyia Mazzarolo & Amorim


Diagnosis (emended). Cratomyia is readily separated from other (Laurasian) genera of Zhangsolvidae by the unique presence in the former of a stem to veins M₁ and M₂ distal to cell d (instead of diverging directly from cell d).
Genus Buccinatormyia Arillo, Peñalver & Pérez-de la Fuente, gen. n
http://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:D45D7DD7-89E2-450F-BA5E-46F92F66611A

Type species. Buccinatormyia magnifica sp.n.

Etymology. Derived from buccinator- (L.), trumpet, and -myia (Gr.), fly, in reference to the long proboscis.

Diagnosis. Antenna with six articles (four flagellomeres), with minute apical stylus; stem of Rs long (several times the r-m crosseein length), C vein ending beyond R 5 but without reaching M 1, veins M 1 and M 2 diverging directly from cell d (not from a portion of M 1+2 distad to cell d).

Buccinatormyia magnifica Arillo, Peñalver & Pérez-de la Fuente, sp. n
http://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:21A4E7D7-D8F6-4FBB-9736-73F5F80F6066
(Figs 1–8)

Type material. Holotype CES-349.1 and paratype in two parts, CES-015.1 and CES-392.3, from El Soplao amber (Spain). These specimens and holotype of B. soplaensis sp.n. were found in the same amber piece as synincusions, which was prepared in three sample pieces for study (CES-349: amber fragment 10 × 5 × 5 mm in a resin prism 16 × 8 × 5 mm; CES-015: amber fragment 13 × 11 × 6 mm in a resin trapezoid 15 × 19 × 6 mm; and CES-392: amber fragment 24 × 10 × 7 mm in a resin prism 30 × 11 × 8 mm). Holotype is in a good state of preservation, virtually complete, but wings are incomplete and a dorsal portion of the thorax is slightly altered. Also, proboscis, legs and abdomen are crosssed by a fracture that partially destroyed some small portions of the abdomen. Paratype is strongly compressed but virtually complete, lacking only the distal portions of the proboscis and the abdomen; it lies in two prepared sample pieces, the smaller portion (CES-392.3) consisting of a costal fragment of wing with the halter, several leg portions and a small portion of the abdominal cuticle.

Synincusions. Holotype and paratype of the new species and the holotype of B. soplaensis sp.n. were preserved in one large piece of amber, which was divided into several pieces to allow study of the 48 arthropods in it, including ten additional diptersans, mainly small brachycerans.

Etymology. Named after the wonderful state of preservation and the impressive habitus of the two specimens.

Diagnosis. Proboscis very elongate (about 0.40x the body length); antenna not flagellate and short (about 0.30x the wing length), flagellomere II with dorsal surface concave, flagellomere III about 0.30x the antennal length; Sc ending at level of r-m crosseein, cell m 1 narrow (W/L = 0.21), M 2 and M 3 nearly parallel, CuP well sclerotized.

Description (Q; based largely on the holotype except for wing features entirely based on the paratype). Body 9.20 mm long (estimated from reconstruction).

Head: Broad, 1.82 mm wide. Eyes large, bulging, occupying nearly entire lateral surface of head (genae exposed ventrally), widely separated, ventrally 0.73 mm wide between inner margins; bare, devoid of interfacial setulae; facets undifferentiated; inner margins in frontal view without emargination around antennal base. Eyes significantly broader dorsally. Dense, long, fine, sti[set]et[set]ae around eye margin. Three small ocelli present, lying in a clearly demarcated, densely setose occi[er]al triangle. Vertex of head with paramedian emarginations, centrally raised into median dorsal tubercle; vertex with three ranges of pilosity: (i) vestiture of fine, dense setulae as on the rest of the head, (ii) sparse, longer setae, and (iii) long, fine, sti[set]et[set]ae like those around eye margin. Areas surrounding antennal bases raised into bare, rounded mounds (=facial lobes), nearly meeting medi- ally. Front of head with well-developed, inverted Y-shaped sulcus. Clypeus large, bulging, covered by fine, dense setulae, raised into low mound between facial lobes and palpal bases, fully frontal in position; with short vertical and median sulci and a transverse, median depression; ventral surface of clypeus membranous, oval; membrane connected to base of proboscis. Frontoclypeal sulci (demarcating lateral edges of clypeus) very deep. Genae developed into pair of rounded, ventral lobes. Neck entirely membranous.

Proboscis: Long (virtually all of it haustellum), 3.85 mm long from base to apex (0.42x the body length), 0.05 mm wide, 0.17 mm high at middle. Proboscis laterally flattened, 0.05 mm wide, 0.17 mm high at the middle (width 0.31x the cross-sectional depth) and directed forward in relation to head. Proboscis inserted below facial margin. Base of proboscis ventrally with annulated membrane (ventral rostral membrane), five-folds visible, each with numerous annulations (membrane probably telescoped). Proboscis covered by dense microtrichia and a dense vestiture of large, sti[set]et[set]ae with stel- late transverse section and directed forward. Haustellum exter- nally composed by a tube-like structure with a dorsal groove (=theca), subellipsoidal in cross-section and with straight lateral walls (well preserved in holotype; laterally collapsed in paratype, ventrally forming an artifactual surface). Dorsal groove internally closed by a narrow (0.05 mm wide), semitransparent membrane with thickened margins and covered dorsally by a fine vestiture of minute setae, interpreted as a modified labrum (most visible in the basal half of the holotype’s proboscis, partially broken and protruding due to downward bending of the proboscis). Labrum externally covering the proboscis base and basally entering into the proboscis. Ep[hi]hypopharynx not visible, but most likely forming floor of proboscis. Food canal preserved, 0.03 mm wide and 0.07 mm high as preserved) (visible in the amber surface that cross-sections the paratype’s proboscis). Labellum very small, about as wide as proboscis, oval in cross-section. Labellar lobes closely pressed together.
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Fig. 1. *Buccinatormyia magnifica* gen. et sp.n., from El Soplao amber. Entire holotype CES-349.1 (ventro-oblique view), shown as preserved.

Medially, 0.22 mm long, 0.13 mm high; each lobe has around 13 pseudotracheae with transverse pseudotracheal rings on exposed surfaces.

*Maxillary palp:* Elongate, 1.45 mm long; projected forward; two-segmented. Bases of palps flank base of proboscis. Basal palpomere straight and long, 0.60× the total palp length; inflated basally and gradually narrowing distally, 0.15 mm high at the base (greatest height), 0.06 mm high at apex (lowest height); distal 2/3 of its length laterally flattened, 0.11 mm wide at the base (greatest width). Basal palpomere with abundant, very long, fine, stiff setae along its length, oriented at 90°-angle to the antennal axis. Apical palpomere long, club-like, pedunculate,

strongly laterally flattened, very broad distally, apex rounded; with several fine foveae (probably sensilla) distributed mainly distally but without apparent pattern.

Antenna: Six-articled (flagellum with four flagellomeres), projecting forward; 1.85 mm long (0.20× estimated body length). Antennal bases narrowly separated by distance subequal to diameter of antennal socket (c. 0.80× distance between palp bases). Scape short, c. twice as long as wide, 0.18 mm long, 0.10 mm wide, 0.14 mm high; both scapes oriented antero-laterally (divergent) as preserved. Scape and pedicel covered by sparse, relatively strong setae projected forward. Antenna entirely covered by a vestiture of dense, fine setulae. Pedicel short, 0.75× the scape length, as wide as scape. Flagellum relatively short, laterally flattened, comprising four flagellomeres: I 0.27 mm long, 0.06 mm wide, 0.09 mm high, approximately as long as scape + pedicel; II 0.56 mm long, 0.05 mm wide, 0.09 mm high (greatest height); III as long as flagellomere II but with different morphology, 0.53 mm long, 0.12 mm wide (greatest width), 0.03 mm high; IV short, as long as scape, 0.18 mm long, 0.03 mm wide, 0.06 mm high (greatest height). Flagellomere II with dorsal surface concave; II and III inserted ventrally to their proximal flagellomere. Flagellomere III proximally with a few fine foveae (probably sensilla), similar to those on distal palpomere, distribution without apparent pattern; this flagellomere with narrow band on ventrolateral surface (except on apex) comprising dense, small foveae (probably sensilla much smaller than other foveae on antenna and palp). Flagellomere IV acuminated distally. Antenna with minute apical stylus.

Thorax: Narrow and deep as preserved, 2.34 mm long, 1.20 mm wide (estimated), 1.88 mm high, slightly narrower than head (thoracic cuticle of holotype partially lost, mainly anterodorsally; thorax of paratype slightly collapsed, pleuron not well seen). Thorax devoid of macrosetae, exocuticle with fine, short setae only; coloration appears uniformly dark brownish (possibly preservational). Anterior part of thorax obscure. Mesothorax large, expansive. Katepisternum ventrally broad and slightly bulging. Aneisternum large; anepimeron smaller, subdivided by partial cleft; mesothoracic spiracle not visible; meron slightly larger than mesocoxa. Coxa and ventral surfaces of femur with sparse, short setae. Procoxa slender, 1.02 mm long; mesocoxa 0.64 mm long, 0.23 mm wide basally; metacoxa 0.60 mm long. Anterodistal surfaces of each coxa with small, protruding lobe. Trochanter small, slender, fully articulated with femur and coxa (no fusion). Legs slender. Prothoracic leg about as long as other legs: femur 1.58 mm long, tibia 1.63 mm long and tarsomere I 2.38 mm long. Mesothoracic leg 5.85 mm long, as estimated: femur 1.70 mm long as estimated, tibia 1.95 mm long, tarsomere (ta) I 1.22 mm long, tII 0.45 mm long, tII 0.23 mm long, tIII 0.13 mm long and tIV 0.18 mm long. Metathoracic leg 6.83 mm long: femur 2.03 mm long, tibia 2.50 mm long, tarsomere (ta) I 1.30 mm long, tII 0.48 mm long, tIII 0.25 mm long, tIV 0.13 mm long and tV 0.15 mm long. Femora with recumbent, dense setulae. Tibiae with denser, stronger setae. Protibia without spurs. One pair of setose distal spurs each on meso- and metatibia. Tarsomeres covered with abundant, short, strong setae. Tarsi more setose than tibiae, especially strong setae distally and each tarsomere having several distal, spine-shaped setae. Claws covered by microtrichia. Pulvilli paddle-shaped; flat, paddle-shaped (pull-villiform) empodia on all pretarsi, of similar size to pulvilli; all three pads covered by microtrichia.

Wing (based on paratype, including halter): Length 6.00 mm, 2.09 mm wide (greatest width) (W/L = 0.35). Costal vein ending between R5 and M1. Veins Sc and R fused basally to crossvein h; Sc 2.57 mm long (0.43× the wing length). Vein Sc meets wing margin beyond middle of wing length, at level of vein r-m. Vein R1 3.15 mm long, gradually thickened apically; stem of Rs relatively long, 0.89 mm long (ca. 4.5× the length of r-m crossvein); R1 1.62 mm long; stem of R4, 1.51 mm long, R5 3.07 mm long, R6 1.60 mm long, R7 1.05 mm long; tip of R6 preapical to wing tip. Cell bm short and thick, 1.03 mm long (greatest length), 0.40 mm wide (W/L = 0.39). Stem of M1 0.86 mm long; M1 arched costad, tip of M1 ending posterior to wing tip; M1 1.18 mm long (linear, not along curvature), M2 0.74 mm long; M1 and M2 diverge directly from cell d, not from a portion of M1 distad to cell d; veins M1 and M2 nearly parallel. M2 ends before wing margin. Cell m1 long and slender, 1.02 mm long, 0.21 mm wide (W/L = 0.21); veins CuA and M1 joined in very short vein before meeting wing margin. Cell br slightly narrower than bm; cell bm slightly longer (2.72 mm long, vs. 2.54 mm). Vein CuA with base approximately half the thickness

Fig. 2. *Buccinatormyia magnifica* gen. et sp.n., from El Soplao amber. Photomicrograph of the holotype, CES-349.1.
Fig. 3. Spatial relation of the paratype of *Buccinatormyia magnifica* gen. et sp.n., the holotype of *B. soplaensis* sp.n., and some other close syninclusions integrating drawings from two preparations of the same amber piece (CES-015 and CES-392). (1) *Buccinatormyia soplaensis* partially reconstructed; (2) *Buccinatormyia magnifica*; (3–4) Diptera: Brachycera; (5) Neuroptera: Coniopterygidae; (6) Lepidoptera: Micropterigidae; (7) Acari: Actinedida.

of base of R; CuA₂ fusing with A₁ prior to wing margin, forming closed, narrow cell cup; cup 2.97 mm long (W/L = 0.12); CuP well developed and sclerotized, parallel to CuA and separated by distance equal to vein thickness. Vein CuA₂ strongly curved before connection with A₁. Vein A₁ entirely straight; A₂ preserved, basal sclerotized portion 0.95 mm long. Apices of veins CuA₁ + M₁ and CuA₂ + A₁ very thin, faint. Anal lobe, alula and calypter well developed; calypter 0.52 mm long, with marginal vein. Wing membrane entirely hyaline (no patterning or pterostigmatic sclerotization), evenly covered with minute microtrichia. Halter 1.00 mm long, with slender apical knob 0.41 mm long, 0.21 mm wide. Stem and knob covered by dense microtrichia and knob with a few additional longer setae. Halter with sensory area at least in middle of its stem, having campaniform-like sensilla; pilosity of base obscure.

Abdomen (virtually complete in holotype): Broad, broader distally, 1.45 mm wide (greatest width), slightly broader than thorax; 5.30 mm long (without cerci); strongly flattened dorsoventrally, especially on margins. Abdomen comprising eight visible segments; dorsal surface (tergites) flat, virtually without relief. Coloration appears uniformly dark brownish (possibly preservational). Sternites cover entire transverse width of abdomen; no abdominal pleural membrane exposed. Segments V–VIII laterally form very thin planar expansions (= ‘lateral flanges’ sensu Stuckenberg (2000) for Vermileonidae), but very small on segment VIII; segment IV apparently with a small distal section as lateral flanges. Abdominal cuticle showing a vestiture constituted by two different types of specialized setae: (i) ‘stellate hairs’, corresponding to microtrichia arranged in bunches of c. five, present on dorsal surface of lateral flanges and also laterally at least on segment III, and (ii) large, stiff deeply-ribbed setae (stellate in transverse section), similar to those on proboscis, sparsely covering the entire abdominal cuticle. Only larger lateral flanges (segments V–VII) have dorso-marginal,
longitudinal, curved lines comprising minute ellipsoid plaques of variable size (=abdominal muscle plaques, see Stoffolano et al., 1988). Female terminalia preserved: cercus strongly flattened dorsoventrally, two-segmented, covered by fine setae; basal segment 0.21 mm long, 0.08 mm wide; distal segment 0.15 mm long, 0.04 mm wide.

Buccinatomyia soplaensis Arillo, Peñalver & Pérez-de la Fuente, sp.n.
http://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:C7BDF2D4-F83E-4BC4-AC0-F7562F656A4A
(Figs 3, 4, 8, 9)

Type material. Holotype in two fragments CES-015.2 and CES-392.2, from El Soplao amber (Spain). Specimen preserved as a complete wing with a small portion of the thoracic cuticle (CES-015.2) and distal parts of the antennae and proboscis including traces of the eyes (CES-392.2).

Syninclusions. The holotype of the new species and the holotype and paratype of B. magnifica sp.n. were preserved in one large piece of amber, which was divided into several pieces to allow study of the 48 arthropods in it, including ten additional dipterans, mainly small brachycerans.

Etymology. Named after El Soplao, the amber locality where the holotype was found.

© 2015 The Royal Entomological Society, Systematic Entomology, 40, 242–267
Fig. 5. Anatomical features of the holotype (CES-349.1) of *Buccinatormyia magnifica* gen. et sp.n. from El Soplao amber. (A) Head in dorsal view as preserved; (B) reconstructed labellum in dorsal (left) and lateral (right) views; (C) reconstructed palp in dorsal (top) and lateral (bottom) views; (D) thorax in lateral view.

on the proximal third but without apparent pattern; this flagellomere with a narrow ventrolateral band comprising dense, abundant, minute, elongate foveae (7 μm long) (also probably sensilla, but about half the size of other foveae). Flagellomeres II and III broadly articulated with proximal article, especially flagellomere II, indicating significant lateral mobility. Flagellomeres III and IV acuminate distally, but III only on ventral margin. Minute apical stylus present, slightly longer than in *B. magnifica*.

**Thorax:** Only a small portion of the dorsal cuticle is preserved, having long setae (such setae absent in *B. magnifica*).

**Wing:** Incomplete [small basal portion and part of anal lobe not preserved (cell cup incomplete)]. Length 9.07 mm, 3.10 mm wide (estimated greatest width) (W/L = 0.34). Costal vein ending between R₁ and M₁. Veins Sc and R fused basal to crossvein h; Sc 4.46 mm long (0.49x the wing length). Vein Sc meets wing margin beyond middle of wing length, beyond level of r-m crossvein. Vein R₁ 5.26 mm long; stem of Rs relatively

Fig. 6. Reconstruction of Buccinatormyia magnifica gen. et sp.n. in dorsal and lateral views to show its life aspect based on the holotype and paratype. The colour patterning is conjectural but based on the common black-and-yellow patterns found in Xylomyidae and Stratiomyidae (Artist: J. A. Peñas).

Linguatormyia Grimaldi, gen.n.
http://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:B279141E-9204-4B56-9504-16E7F43D7663

Type species. Linguatormyia teletacta gen. et sp.n.

Etymology. Derived from lingua- (L.), tongue, and -myia (Gr.), fly, in reference to the long proboscis.

Diagnosis. Antenna with five articles (three flagellomeres), without minute apical stylus; stem of Rs short (shorter than r-m crossvein), M1 and M2 diverging directly from cell d (not from a portion of M1+2 distad to cell d), vein A2 absent.

Linguatormyia teletacta Grimaldi, sp.n.
(Figs 10–13)

Type material. Holotype AMNH Bu-SE13, in Burmese amber (Myanmar). The specimen is in a 36×30×11mm piece of dark, turbid amber containing fine particulate debris, transverse fractures and flow lines (especially near the centre). These obscure some features of the specimen, especially dorsally. The entire head, palp, proboscis and even antennae are preserved, as is the thorax and most of the abdomen (except for its tip). Lost at the surface of the amber are tip of the right wing and apices of all tibiae and all tarsi. Distal third of right wing is folded 3× and required careful reconstruction.

Syninclusions. Two thrips (Thysanoptera), a small beetle, an empidoid fly, a portion of a neuropteran wing, and insect frass.

Etymology. Combination of tele (G.), far, and tactus (L.), sense of touch, meaning ‘far touching’, in reference to the strikingly elongate antennae.

Diagnosis. As for genus, with the following additions: proboscis very long (about 0.45× the body length); antenna flagellate and extremely elongate (about 1.80× the wing length), flagellomere III about 0.80× the entire antennal length.
Fig. 7. Anatomical features of the holotype of *Buccinatormyia magnifica* gen. et sp.n. from El Soplao amber (CES-349.1), except subfigures (F and G) from the paratype (CES-015.1/CES-392.3). (A, B) Labellum in dorsolateral and lateral views showing labellar lobes with fine pseudotracheae; (C) metatibia showing the intersegmental membrane with two tibial spurs (arrows); (D) metatarsus showing paddle-shaped pulvilli, empodium and claws covered by microtrichia; (E) pretarsus in lateral view; (F) wing (inset: sclerotized CuP); (G) halter in dorsal view; (H) two of the major abdominal 'lateral flanges' with series of abdominal muscle plaques; (I) margin of an abdominal 'lateral flange' showing dense vestiture of 'stellate hairs' (arrows), constituted by microtrichia arranged in bunches and large, stiff deeply-ribbed setae (stellate in transverse section) similar to those on proboscis (asterisks); (J) female terminalia with two-segmented cerci. Subfigures (C, D) and (I, J) made with consecutive pictures taken at successive focal planes. Scale bars: (A–C, G, J) 0.2 mm; (D, E, I) 0.1 mm; (F) 1.0 mm; (H) 0.5 mm.
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Fig. 8. Comparison between antennae (A) and wings (B) of *Buccinatormyia magnifica* gen. et sp. n. (top in both subfigures) and *B. soplaensis* sp. n. (bottom in both subfigures), drawn to the same scale. Antennae depicted in fully lateral and dorsal views. Antennal views of *B. magnifica* enlarged for relative comparison of antennal articles with those from *B. soplaensis*. Insets in antennal views of *B. soplaensis* showing fovea on flagellomere III (left inset) and minute apical stylus (right inset). Wing of *B. magnifica* taken from the paratype; rest of the subfigures taken from their respective holotypes.
Fig. 9. Anatomical features of *Buccinatormyia soplaensis* sp.n. from El Soplao amber, holotype CES-015.2/CES-392.2. (A) Oblique section of the proboscis showing the labrum; (B) detail of the same section showing the large, stiff deeply-ribbed setae with stellate transverse section; (C) cushion-like labellar lobe showing abundant, fine pseudotracheae transversally crossed by abundant pseudotracheal rings; (D) broad articulation of flagellomere II; (E) detail of the two groups of fine foveae on the proximal third of flagellomere III; (F) detail of the narrow ventral band of small foveae on the same flagellomere; (G) flagellomere IV with minute apical stylus; (H) wing (inset: weakly developed CuP). Subfigures (C, E and F) made with consecutive pictures taken at successive focal planes. Scale bars: (A, E) 0.1 mm; (B, F) 0.02 mm; (C, G) 0.2 mm; (D) 0.05 mm; (H) 1.00 mm.

**Description (sex unknown).** Body 8.92 mm long.

**Head:** Broad, 2.67 mm wide. Eyes large, occupying nearly entire lateral surface of head (genae exposed ventrally), widely separated (ventrally 1.22 mm between inner margins); bare, devoid of interfacetal setulae; facets undifferentiated; inner margins in frontal view with slight emarginations around antennal base (depth of emargination approx. half the diameter of antennal socket). Anterior ocellus apparently present, small; posterior ocelli either minute or lost. Vertex of head with paramedian emarginations, centrally raised into bare, median dorsal tubercle; vertex with short, stiff setulae. Areas surrounding antennal bases raised into bare, rounded mounds (=facial lobes), nearly meeting medially. Front of head with well-developed, inverted Y-shaped sulcus; diverging arms separating clypeus and...
ventral margins of facial lobes; these arms meet fronto- 
genal sulci beneath antennal sockets; straight arm running between 
pair of facial lobes up frons to anterior ocellus. Clypeus bare, 
raised into low mound between facial lobes and palpal bases, 
fully frontal in position; with short vertical, median suture; ven-
tral surface of clypeus membranous, oval, membrane connected 
to base of proboscis, with dorsomedial ligament. Fronto- 
clypeal sulci (demarcating lateral edges of clypeus) very deep, expos-
ing pair of anterior tentorial pits. Genae developed into pair of 
rounded, ventral lobes; lobes slightly separated beneath base of 
proboscis (not touching); with dense, fine setulae. Neck entirely 
membranous, 0.80 mm thick.

**Proboscis:** Long (virtually all of it haustellum), 4.37 mm long 
(measured from CT scan), 0.46× the body length. Proboscis lat- 
erally flattened (width 0.43× the cross-sectional depth); barely 
tapered in width or depth apicad; covered with dense, thick 
microtrichia for entire length. Base of proboscis ventrally with 
amnulated membrane (ventral rostral membrane), ten annula-
tions exposed (membrane probably telescoped). Dorsal portion 
of proboscis semi-tubular (labrum probably forming dorsal wall, 
epi/hypopharynx not visible, but most likely forming floor), sur-
rrounding hollow food canal; smaller ventral portion solid (prob-
ably a distended labium and/or prementum). Labellum very 
small, as wide as proboscis, depth slightly greater than width; 
length slightly less than depth; labellar lobes closely pressed 
together medially, with fine pseudotracheae on exposed sur-
faces.

**Maxillary palp:** Elongate, 1.47 mm long, projected for- 
ward, two-segmented. Bases of palps flank base of proboscis 
as preserved, apical palpomeres virtually in contact with
Fig. 11. Nanoscans of head of Linguatormyia rhabdota gen. et sp. n. in Burmese amber, holotype AMNH Bu-SE13. (A) Fronto-ventral view; (B) ventral view; (C) oblique frontal view.
antennae). Basal palpomere long, 0.80× the total palp length; tubular, 0.20 mm wide; curved gently upward; ventral half covered with fine, stiff setae; with very fine transverse suture (appears under transmitted visible light; this suture is not visible in CT scans, indicating it may be only internally developed). Apical palpomere short, oval, not pedunculate, laterally flattened, pointed anteriad, bare; lateral surface with three longitudinal rows of fine setae (probably sensilla).

Antenna: Five-articled (with three flagellomeres), projecting forward, extremely elongate and flagellate; 15.40 mm long (1.62× estimated body length) (as preserved antennae converge and cross twice, at 0.5× and 0.65× their total length). Antennal bases broadly separated by distance 3× diameter of antennal socket (1.75× between palp bases), lying within shallow emarginations of inner margin of eyes. Scape short, slightly wider than long; as preserved both scapes are oriented anterolaterally (divergent). Pedicel short, 0.37× length of scape, 0.48× the width (pedicels and flagellomeres I pointed mesally (convergent)). Flagellum extremely long, slender, tapered, laterally flattened; with dense, fine setulae. Flagellum comprising three flagellomeres: I as long as scape, 0.40 mm long, 0.16 mm wide; II 0.97 mm long, 0.13 mm wide; III 12.8 mm long, 0.83× the antennal length. Minute apical stylus absent.

Thorax: Broad and deep, 2.68 mm wide, slightly wider than head; devoid of macrosetae, exocuticle with fine, short, pile-like setae only; coloration appears uniformly dark brownish (possibly preservational). Prothorax highly reduced, as in other Diptera, but with some modifications. Proprosternum a small central, anterior sclerite with pair of small lobes protruding anteriorly (lobe diameter 0.12 mm). Flanking these lobes is pair of hemispherical, protruding lobes, diameter 0.25 mm (=cervical sclerites) shifted ventrally from lateral positions typical of most flies; cervical sclerite extremely heavily sclerotized (based on density in CT scans), with covering of sparse setulae. Immediately posterior to proprosternum is propasisternum, c. 3–4× the size of former sclerite, with sulcus demarcating profurca well defined. Lateral and dorsal to cervical sclerites is a pair of flattened, triangular postpronotal lobes with short pilosity. Between these lobes and propasisternum is small, triangular sclerite with bulging lobe, i.e. proanepisternum. Base of proanepisternum articulating with base of procoxa. Mesothorax large, expanded. Katepisternum ventrally broad and slightly bulging, with median sulcus of furca well defined. Anepisternum very large; anepimeron smaller, subdivided by partial cleft; katatergite rounded, bulging, setulose; mesothoracic spiracle immediately ventral to katatergite; meron slightly larger than mesocoxa. Coxae and ventral surfaces of femora with sparse, short setulae. Procoxae slender, 1.01 mm long, well separated from each other; mesocoxae closer together, bases nearly contiguous, 0.58 mm long, 0.51 mm wide basally; metacoxal bases contiguous, 0.45 mm long, anterior surface of metacoxa with a small, protruding lobe. Trochanters small, slender, fully articulated with femora and coxae (no fusion). Femora slender, profemur 2.14 mm long, mesofemur 2.12 mm long, metafemur 2.89 mm long; each dorsally with dense, recumbent setulae. Tibiae slender (none with apex preserved), entirely covered with dense setulae.

Wing: Length 8.64 mm (from reconstruction); 3.39 mm wide (greatest width) (W/L = 0.39). Veins Sc and R fused basal to crossvein h; Sc 3.71 mm long (0.36× the wing length), Sc ending beyond level of r-m crossvein. Vein R1 4.82 mm long, gradually thickened apically; stem of Rs short, 0.50 mm long; R2+3 3.64 mm long; stem of R4+5 3.43 mm long; R4 and R5 short, asymmetrical, R4 0.93 mm long, R5 1.34 mm long, tip of R5 preapical to wing tip. Cell d short and thick, 1.50 mm greatest length, 0.68 mm wide (W/L = 0.45). Stem of M1 1.57 mm long; M1 arched costal, tip of M1 probably ending posterior to wing tip (based on trajectory

Fig. 12. Reconstructed habitus of Linguatormyia teletacta gen. et sp.n. in Burmese amber.
Fig. 13. Wings of recent (A–C) and fossil (D) stratiomyomorphans. (A) Xylopyia americana (Xylomyidae); (B) Allognosta fuscitarsis (Stratiomyidae); (C) Pantophthalmus sp. (Pantophthalmidae); (D) Linguatormyia teleacta gen. et sp.n. (Zhangsolvidae).
of vein), M₁ 2.25 mm long (linear, not along curvature); M₂ 1.86 mm long; M₃ and M₄ diverge directly from cell d, not from a portion of M₄ + 2 distal to cell d; veins M₅ and M₆ nearly parallel. Apices of veins R₁, R₂, M₁ and M₂ very thin, faint. Cell m₁ long and slender, 1.96 mm long, 0.39 mm wide (W/L = 0.20); veins CuA₁ and M₁ joined in very short vein before meeting wing margin. Cell br slightly narrower than bm; cell bm slightly longer (3.35 mm long vs. 3.00). Vein CuA with base very thick, approximately same thickness as base of R; CuA₂ fusing with A₁ prior to wing margin, forming closed, narrow cell cup; cup 4.42 mm long (W/L = 0.16); CuP well developed, parallel to CuA and separated by distance equal to vein thickness. Vein A₂ entirely straight; no trace of A₁ evident. Anal lobe, alula and calypter well developed; calypter with marginal vein. Wing membrane entirely hyaline (no patterning or pterostigmatic sclerotization), evenly covered with minute microtrichia. Halter with slender apical club.

Abdomen: Broad, 3.00 mm wide, slightly broader than thorax, as preserved dorsoventrally flattened. Five basal sternites and five basal tergites preserved, all with dense, short setulae. Sterites cover entire transverse width of abdomen; no abdominal pleural membrane exposed. Terminalia not preserved.

Remarks. Sex of the unique specimen cannot be definitively determined without terminalia, but the dichoptic condition suggests it may actually be a female. Orthorrhaphous Brachycera commonly have holoptic males, exceptions being all Apioceridae, Asilidae, Vermileonidae and Xylomyidae, some Xylophagidae and Bombyliidae, and the genus Tonganyia (Mydidae).

Linguatormyia teletacta gen. et sp.n. differs from other zhangsolvids in wing venation (most comparable structure for all fossil species) as follows: from Buccinatormyia soplaensis sp.n. by having much more slender cell m₁ (W/L = 0.20, vs. 0.38), M₂ and M₃ nearly parallel (vs. highly divergent); from Cratomyia by lacking a stem of M₁ and M₂ and by having a broader wing (W/L = 0.39, vs. 0.35), lacking A₂, and cell d broader (W/L = 0.43, vs. 0.37); from Zhangsolva by the broader wing (vs. 0.34) and proximal end of cell d with two shallow, unequal sides (vs. equilateral ‘V’-shaped sides); and from the other four genera by the short stem of Rs, which is shorter than r-m crossvein (vs. several times the crossvein length).

Discussion

Some important characters have not been included in the diagnoses of the new genera because they are unknown from B. soplaensis sp.n. However, these characters are remarkably different between B. magnifica gen. et sp.n. and Linguatormyia teletacta gen. et sp.n. and hence they hold a potential diagnostic significance between the two genera in which they have been classified. The most important characters of these are based on the palp morphology. In B. magnifica, the basal palpomere is long (0.60× the total palp length), inflated basally and gradually narrowing distally (with distal 2/3 strongly flattened laterally), and straight; whereas the apical palpomere is long, club-like, pedunculate and with a broad, rounded apex. In contrast, in L. teletacta the basal palpomere is very long (0.80× the total palp length), tubular and curved gently upward, whereas the apical palpomere is short, oval, not pedunculate, and pointed anteriorly. Moreover, the dorsal width of the eyes is broader in B. magnifica (a condition apparently present as well in Cratomyia, though preservation in limestone makes this uncertain) but nearly equal to the ventral width in L. teletacta (only a small portion of the eyes is preserved in B. soplaensis).

Even though the palps, as mentioned, are not preserved in the unique specimen of B. soplaensis, and that this species shares with L. teletacta the remarkable presence of flagellate antennae – a unique feature among all extinct or extant Brachycera – we are placing the former within the genus Buccinatormyia based on wing characters and antennal structure. Indeed, along with the fewer antennomeres and the absence of an apical stylus, the antenna of L. teletacta is much more elongate, nearly 2× the wing length (vs. less than the wing length in B. soplaensis) and flagellomere III comprises 0.83× the entire antennal length (vs. 0.64× in B. soplaensis). Zhangsolva possesses a basic antennal structure with multiple articles having little differentiation (probably with no more than eight flagellomeres; see comments above).

The presence of two morphotypes of a rare family, Zhangsolvidae, in the same piece of Spanish amber with similar wing venation could be interpreted as a male and female of the same species, rather than separate species as we propose. Under this hypothesis, the three specimens were captured possibly during swarming or mating. However, B. magna (the smaller species) is represented by a female that has the terminalia well preserved, and this size dimorphism would be unlike any seen in orthrophapsous Brachycera. Based on our measurements of 30 exemplar genera and 34 species in the three extant families of Stratiomyomorpha, males are usually smaller than females, sometimes substantially so. The presence and degree of body size dimorphism varies to some extent based on whether the measurement is thorax or wing length, because in 18 of 26 stratiomyid species the females were larger based on thorax length, and in 21 of 26 species females were larger based on wing length (males were consistently smaller in pantophthalmids and xylomyids). For all three families the pattern of larger females is highly significant (P < 0.01, one- and two-tailed sign tests). On average, female stratiomyids were larger by 6–9% (depending on the length measurement), female pantophthalmids by 6–20%, and female xylomyids by 9–16%. The wing length of the female holotype of B. magna is 6.00 mm – that of B. soplaensis is 9.07 mm (sex unknown). Therefore, if B. soplaensis were the male of B. magna its 51% larger size would completely contradict the size dimorphism seen in extant stratiomyomorphans.

Sexual dimorphisms of discrete characters in extant flies can be very dramatic, commonly involving a character system such as leg ornamentation, eye stalks, or body/wing coloration. Not only is the holotype of B. soplaensis considerably larger than the known female of the genus, but it has very long, flagellate antennae and different wing venation (see diagnosis of B. soplaensis, above). Sexual dimorphism in venation is rare
Fig. 14. Heads of recent stratiomyomorphans, showing some of the characters used in the phylogenetic analysis. (A, B) Oblique frontal views; (C, D) fronto-ventral views. (A) Pantophthalmus kerteszianus (Pantophthalmidae); (B) Xylomyia americana (Xylomyidae); (C) Pantophthalmus comptus; (D) Chiromyza sp. (Stratiomyidae).

in flies, including extant Stratiomyomorpha, and is generally subtle. Val (1976) records slight sexual differences in the venation of a few species of Pantophthalmus Thunberg, but, given the rarity of these flies, it is uncertain as to how consistent are these differences. The most obvious example involves Pantophthalmus batesi Austen, in which the size of cell m₃ is slightly smaller in the male (cell width of 0.31 relative to length, vs. 0.40 in the female). In B. soplaensis, cell m₃ is actually much broader (0.38) than in the known female of the genus (0.22).

Dimorphism in antennal structure does occur in Stratiomyomorpha, most obvious in, again, the genus Pantophthalmus, where the female has a larger, stout flagellum (of eight flagellomeres) and the male a smaller, conical one with the apical article being styliform and 0.5–2× the length of the basal article (Fig. 14; Val, 1976). Woodley (1995) reported dimorphism in the size of antenna of about eight genera of beridine Stratiomyidae, those in males being slightly smaller. The antenna of female pantophthalmids is actually larger than in males, so in both these groups the sexual differences are opposite what would be expected if B. magnifica and B. soplaensis were sexes of the same species.

The body size, antennal structure and venation of the two species of Buccinatormyia are substantially distinctive from each other, such that we are confident these are not two sexes of the same species. It seems astonishing that two species of this rarely fossilized fly were captured in the same piece of amber. Perhaps copious resin exuded in close proximity to the plant reproductive structures that these flies visited for nectar.

The following key to the genera of Zhangsolvidae is based mainly on wing venation. Antennal structure profoundly differs among some groups, but unfortunately this is ambiguous in Zhangsolva and for Cratomyia macrorrhyncha the antennae are incompletely known.
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Key to the genera of Zhangsolvidae

1. M₁ and M₂ diverging from a portion of M₁+2 distad to cell d .................................................. Cratomyia
   (two species: compressions, Aptian, Crato Formation, Brazil)
   – M₁ and M₂ diverging directly from cell d .............. 2
2. Rs diverging from R₁ significantly basal to the middle of the wing. Crossvein r-m about 3× shorter than basal section of vein M₁+2. Cell d about 3× longer than wide .......... 4
3. Antenna with six articles, with minute apical stylus. Stem of Rs long, several times the r-m crossvein length .................. ................................................................................. 3
4. Antenna with five articles, without minute apical stylus. Stem of Rs short, shorter than r-m crossvein .............. Linguatormyia
   (two species: amber inclusions, Albian, El Soplao, Las Peñasas Formation, Spain)
   – Antenna with five articles, without minute apical stylus. Stem of Rs long, several times the r-m crossvein length .................. 2

Relationships and phylogenetic analysis

According to Mazzarolo & Amorim (2000), Zhangsolvidae (their Cratomyiidae) is the sister group to (Xylomyiidae + Stratiomyidae), with Pantophthalmidae the sister group to these three families. That hypothesis was based on 11 characters, all except one being wing venation. With Zhangsolvidae now known in amber, the preservation of critical, minute characters allows an analysis of relationships of these interesting flies with substantially more data.

A total of 52 morphological characters were scored for 33 ingroup taxa (including 11 Cretaceous species) and two outgroups (Symphoromyia sp. [Rhagionionidae] and Xylophagus sp. [Xylophagidae]). Several larval characters are quite informative of relationships, such as the presence of calcareous warts and three instars (Xylomyidae + Stratiomyidae) (Woodley, 1986, 1995). However, we did not include immature characters because these are unknown for many extant and all fossil taxa. Among the 52 characters, 15 are from the head (including antennae and mouthparts), 10 from the thorax and legs, 15 from the wing venation, 5 from the pre-genital abdomen, and 7 from the male and female terminalia. Woodley (1986, 1995), Mazzarolo & Amorim (2000) and Yeates (2002) were sources for many of the characters, but 19 characters presented here are newly proposed. Cratomyia, which is extremely well preserved as mineralized 3D replicas in limestone, had twice the number of missing characters as did the two amber genera.

Characters used in the phylogenetic analysis are the following:

**Head**

1. Shape of vertex: (0) ocellar area only slightly raised, without lateral concavities; (1) head vertex with dorsal ocellar tubercle, concave on each side of tubercle (Figs 11A, 14A).
2. Facial structure: (0) face flat or slightly bulging; (1) face with small to large, conical tubercle, sometimes horn-like and larger in females (Fig. 14A, C).
3. Inner margin of eye around or just dorsal to base of antenna: (0) no emargination; (1) slight emargination present (Figs 11A, 14B).
4. Pilosity of frons: (0) frons bare or with sparse pilosity; (1) frons with distinct pilosity (Fig. 14B).

**Antenna**

5. Number of flagellomeres: (0) eight (Fig. 15A, B); (1) five or four (Figs 5A, 8A, 10B); (2) two.
6. Sexual dimorphism of apical flagellomere: (0) male similar to female; (1) apical flagellomere slender and styliform in males (Fig. 14C).
7. Presence/absence and sensillar structure of foveae on the flagellum: (0) foveae absent; (1) foveae present and well developed with each fovea having a single, digitiform sensillum basiconicum (Fig. 15) (sensillum not observed in Zhangsolvidae); (2) foveae well developed, with each sensillum basiconicum having multiple (≥2) digitiform processes (Fig. 15C).
8. Antennal stylus: (0) absent, or apical flagellomere not highly differentiated from preapical one; (1) present, minute and conical (Figs 8A, 9G, 14A, 15A, D–G).

**Mouthparts**

9. Proboscis structure: (0) proboscis short, not extended much beyond palps; (1) proboscis elongate, one to several times length of the head (Figs 1–6, 10–12).
10. Size of labellum: (0) labellum typical of most Brachycera, extended laterally approximately to palpal margins; (1) labellum small, proboscis short in proportion to palps and head (Fig. 14C).
11. Palp structure: (0) palp short, length <5× the width; setose, but setae rarely like a bottle-brush; (1) palp long, length >10× width; with very long, erect setae at the base (Figs 5C, 11C).
12. Cuticular structure of palp: (0) without fine wrinkling; (1) palpomeres with fine, irregular, transverse annulations/wrinkling at proximal ends (Fig. 14C).
13. Development of adult mouthparts (labellum, labium, palps): (0) well developed, fully functional; (1) highly reduced to vestigial (Fig. 14D).

**Eyes**

14. Male eyes: (0) holoptic (Fig. 14C, D); (1) dichoptic (Fig. 14B).
15. Eye vestiture: (0) absent, very sparse, or so minute as to be discernible under light microscopy at ca. 100× (Fig. 14A–D); (1) with dense, long pilosity (setal length greater than diameter of eye facets).
Thorax

16. Post-tegula pilosity: (0) absent; (1) present.
17. Area surrounding metathoracic spiracle: (0) bare; (1) with tuft of fine hairs ventrally (Fig. 16C).
18. Scutellar spines: (0) absent; (1) present.
19. Bulla-like structure ventral to base of wing: (0) absent; (1) present (Fig. 16C).
20. Probasisternum structure: (0) probasisternum of unmodified size, distinct from prosternum and cervical sclerites (Fig. 11B); (1) probasisternum large, fused with surrounding sclerites.

Legs

21. Number of protibial spurs: (0) none; (1) one.
22. Number of mesotibial spurs: (0) none; (1) one (Fig. 16D, F); (2) two (Fig. 16E).
23. Number of metatibial spurs: (0) none; (1) one; (2) two (Fig. 7C).
24. Metatarsomere with lateroapical spine: (0) absent; (1) present.
25. Ornamentation of ventral surface of metatarsomere: (0) without spines or denticles; (1) with longitudinal series of black denticles.

Wing

26. Wing membrane: (0) without fine wrinkling; (1) with a series of fine wrinkles along the wing margin that parallel the terminal sections of the veins; (2) with fine, irregular pleating/wrinkling that covers the entire wing; wrinkles without common orientation (Fig. 13C).
27. Vein C: (0) circumambient (Fig. 13C); (1) ending at or slight past apex of wing (e.g. at apex of Rs, M1, or M2) (Fig. 8B); (2) ending before apex of wing, sometimes considerably so (e.g. at apex of Rs, R1+5, or between these and the wing tip) (Fig. 13B).
28. Basal branching of vein R: (0) Rs branching off R basally, in proximal half of wing; (1) Rs branching off R distally (stem of Rs short), i.e. Rs diverging from R1 near the middle of the wing.
29. Relative length of vein R1: (0) long, approximately 0.40 × length of R (base + R1) and higher (Fig. 13A, C, D); (1) short, from 0.15 to 0.30 × length of R (Fig. 13B).
30. Orientation and structure of vein R2: (0) short, significantly diverging from R1; (1) long, curved only at base (i.e. nearly parallel to R1).
31. Apices of veins R4 and R5: (0) anterior to the wing tip or R4 posterior to the wing tip (Figs 8B, 13B, D); (1) encompassing the wing tip (Fig. 13C).
32. Costalization of radial veins (i.e. veins shortened, crowded near anterior portion of costal vein): (0) absent; (1) present (Fig. 13B).
33. Structure of vein M1: (0) vein barely curved or straight (Fig. 13B); (1) vein slightly arched (Fig. 13A, C); (2) vein strongly arched (Figs 8B, 13D).
34. Thickness of base of vein M1: (0) vein well developed, no thinner than other M veins (Figs 7F, 8B, 13A, D); (1) vein base very thin to evanescent where it bisects the basal cell into br and bm cells (Fig. 13B).
35. Apex of vein M1: (0) distant from wing apex; (1) ending at or very near the wing apex (Fig. 13B); (2) evanescent or incomplete.
36. Divergence of veins M1 and M2: (0) directly from cell d (not from a portion of M1+2 distad to cell d); (1) from a portion of M1+2 distad to cell d.
37. Vein M2: (0) present; (1) absent (Fig. 13B).
38. Cell m3 shape (only applicable in those taxa where M3 is present): (0) cell open apically; (1) cell closed (i.e. M5 and CuA1 meeting before wing margin) (Fig. 13A, C, D).
39. Proportions of discal cell: (0) cell long and narrow, approximately 0.20 × the wing length and greater (Fig. 13A); (1) cell short and broad (Fig. 13D).
40. Apical shape of cell cup: (0) acute (Figs 8B, 13A, C, D); (1) truncate (apical section CuA1 nearly perpendicular to A1) (Fig. 13B).

Abdomen

41. Structure of female cerci: (0) cerci not separated by tergite X; (1) cerci separated by tergite X.
42. Tergal grooves: (0) absent; (1) present, deep and transverse on tergites II–VI preapically (Fig. 16A, B).
43. Abdominal muscle plaques: (0) scattered, in an irregular line oblique to the tergal margins (Figs 7H, 16A); (1) parallel to the tergal sulci/margins (Fig. 16B).
44. Development of tergites I and II: (0) well developed (at least tergite II), as in tergites III–VI; (1) reduced, with membranous areas; abdomen held raised.
45. Development of tergites VI and VII: (0) abdominal segments VI and VII slightly smaller than preceding segments, but not reduced; (1) abdominal segments VI and VII clearly reduced in size (i.e. five major segments present).
46. Spermathecal number: (0) three; (1) two.
47. Shape of ejaculatory apodeme: (0) generally elongate, laterally compressed; (1) a concave plate.
48. Sperm pump: (0) structure generalized; (1) uniquely modified.
49. Hypantral fusion: (0) hypantrum articulates with but not fused to gonocoxites; (1) hypantrum fused to gonocoxites.
50. Paramere structure: (0) parameres well developed, not fused or hood-like; (1) parameres fused, small, not hood-like.
51. Size of male sternite VIII: (0) sternite a recognizable, plate-like sclerite; (1) sternite reduced or absent.
52. Phallotheca structure: (0) phallotheca a simple tube; (1) phallic complex trifid.

The TNT analysis of the matrix presented in Table 1 yielded 270 most-parsimonious trees of 101 steps. A consensus topology
Fig. 15. Antenna of recent basal Brachycera, showing details of the foveae and stylus. (A) Photomicrograph; (B–G) scanning electron micrographs. (A) *Artemita* sp. (Stratiomyidae), lateral view of entire antenna; (B, C) *Allognosta fascitarsis* (Stratiomyidae), showing entire antenna (B, in lateral view) and detail of fovea with a multidigitate sensillum (C); (D) tip of antenna of *Solva* sp. (Xylomyidae), showing minute stylus; (E) tip of antenna of *Xylopyia pallidifemur* (Xylomyidae), showing minute stylus; (F, G) tip of antenna of *Xylophagus reflectans* (Xylophagidae), showing apical flagellomere (F) and detail of minute stylus (G).

Fig. 16. Thoracic and abdominal characters of recent Stratiomyomorpha. (A, B) Abdomens in dorsal view; (C) portion of thoracic pleura; (D–F) mesotibial spurs (arrows). (A) Allognosta fuscitarsis (Stratiomyidae); (B) Pantophthalmus pictiventris (Pantophilthmidae); (C) Pantophthalmus tabaninus; (D) Pantophthalmus frauenfeldi; (E) Solva sp. (Xylomyidae); (F) Allognosta fuscitarsis (Stratiomyidae).

is shown in Fig. 17 (CI 0.40, RI 0.76), and supports the monophyly for each family: Pantophilthmidae, Xylomyidae, Zhangsolvidae and Stratiomyidae.

This analysis confirms Mazzarolo & Amorim’s (2000) placement of Zhangsolvidae within the Stratiomyomorpha, a hypothesis based on three wing venation characters: $M_3$ fused to $CuA_1$, forming a cell $m_3$ (lost in many Stratiomyidae); $CuA_2$ fused to $A_1$ (convergent with other families of Brachycera); and vein $M_1$ weakly to strongly arched (unique to stratiomyomorphans, but variable in development and not found in all). Though not known for all species of Zhangsolvidae, at least some of the amber species also share with extant stratiomyomorphans the following characters: (i) two palpomeres, (ii) no protibial spurs (observable only for Buccinatormyia magnifica gen. et sp.n.) and (iii) vein $C$ ending near the wing apex instead of being circumambient (e.g. in Pantophilthmidae, which is putatively plesiomorphic but could be structurally related to their large size and reinforcement of the wing).

Interestingly, in our analysis the relationships among families in the infraorder and to Xylophagus (Xylophagidae) were unresolved; the most widely accepted hypothesis of stratiomyomorphan relationships is Pantophilthmidae (Xylomyidae + Stratiomyidae) (Woodley, 1986, 1995; Sinclair, 1992; Sinclair et al., 1994; Yeates, 2002; Woodley et al., 2009; Wiegmann et al., 2011). This is undoubtedly due to the absence of larval characters in our matrix. Also, our analysis did not resolve basal relationships within Stratiomyidae. This is somewhat surprising in light of the relationships among extant subfamilies presented by Woodley (1986, 1995), of Parhadrestiinae (Chiromyzinae (Beridinae (Clade 4 [higher] Stratiomyidae))). Although we did recover monophyly of the Parhadrestiinae (including Cretaceogaster), the Chiromyzinae and higher stratiomyids, we did not recover monophyly of the Beridinae. The irresolution in our results is probably due to the inclusion of three Early Cretaceous genera – i.e. Cretoxyla Grimaldi & Cumming (Xylomyidae, in Lebanese amber), Montsecia Mostovski (Stratiomyidae, in limestone from Spain) and Lysistrata Grimaldi & Arillo (Stratiomyidae, in Spanish amber) – as well as an undescribed taxon in Late Cretaceous amber from New Jersey (Grimaldi & Cumming, 1999). These fossils are incomplete, basal, and have some unusual character combinations. The monophyly of Beridinae is best defined by the presence of a deep, transverse furrow on each of the larger tergites; Pantophilthmidae have similar, but more shallow, furrows, as do some stratiomyids in Cretaceous amber that are as yet undescribed (D. Grimaldi, unpublished data).

The analysis does indicate that there are three groups in the Zhangsolvidae: Zhangsolva, Cratomyia and (Linguatormyia + Buccinatormyia), the latter defined by the reduced number of flagellomeres; (Cratomyia cretacica +
Table 1. Matrix for the phylogenetic analysis.

|        | Opetiopsalienus | Pantophthalmuspa | Pantophthalmustab | Xylomyiaamericana | Xylomyiapallidif | Solva A | Solva B | Parhadrestia | Lysiistra | Creteocerogaster | NJ amber strat | Montsecia | Chironyza sp. | Tanapulseni | Allognostafuscipes | Exairetaspinigera | Heteracantharufic | Exodonthaluteipes | Pachygastriinaespp | Odontomyiapubescul | Chrysorhopalinus | Menarsogucingula | Cyphomyiaactinipes | Hermeliatallucens | Nemotulumland | Cretomyiaazari | Zhangsolvacupress | Buccinatmagnific | Buccinatopoplicic | Linguatomyiatellae | Cratonyiamacrorra | Cratonyiacretac | Outgroups: |
|--------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------|---------|-------------|-----------|-----------------|--------------|-----------|----------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 0      | 0               | 0                | 0                | 0                 | 0                | 0       | 0       | 0           | 0         | 0               | 0             | 0         | 0               | 0             | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               |
| 1      | 1               | 0                | 0                | 0                 | 0                | 0       | 0       | 0           | 0         | 0               | 0             | 0         | 0               | 0             | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               |
| 2      | 0               | 1                | 0                | 0                 | 0                | 0       | 0       | 0           | 0         | 0               | 0             | 0         | 0               | 0             | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               |
| 3      | 0               | 0                | 1                | 0                 | 0                | 0       | 0       | 0           | 0         | 0               | 0             | 0         | 0               | 0             | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               |
| 4      | 0               | 0                | 0                | 1                 | 0                | 0       | 0       | 0           | 0         | 0               | 0             | 0         | 0               | 0             | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               |
| 5      | 0               | 0                | 0                | 0                | 1                | 0       | 0       | 0           | 0         | 0               | 0             | 0         | 0               | 0             | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               |
| 6      | 0               | 0                | 0                | 0                | 0                | 1       | 0       | 0           | 0         | 0               | 0             | 0         | 0               | 0             | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               |
| 7      | 0               | 0                | 0                | 0                | 0                | 0       | 1       | 0           | 0         | 0               | 0             | 0         | 0               | 0             | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               |
| 8      | 0               | 0                | 0                | 0                | 0                | 0       | 0       | 1           | 0         | 0               | 0             | 0         | 0               | 0             | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               |
| 9      | 0               | 0                | 0                | 0                | 0                | 0       | 0       | 0           | 1         | 0               | 0             | 0         | 0               | 0             | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               |
| 10     | 0               | 0                | 0                | 0                | 0                | 0       | 0       | 0           | 0         | 1               | 0             | 0         | 0               | 0             | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               |
| 11     | 0               | 0                | 0                | 0                | 0                | 0       | 0       | 0           | 0         | 0               | 1             | 0         | 0               | 0             | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               |
| 12     | 0               | 0                | 0                | 0                | 0                | 0       | 0       | 0           | 0         | 0               | 0             | 1         | 0               | 0             | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               | 0               |
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**Fig. 17.** Consensus cladogram of relationships of recent and fossil Stratiomyomorpha based on morphological characters (see text). Outgroups were *Symphoromyia* sp. (Rhagionidae) and *Xylophagus* sp. (Xylophagidae). Taxa marked by (†) are fossils from the Cretaceous.

*C. macrorrhyncha* are grouped based on the distinctive stem of $M_{1+2}$ beyond the cell d. Although we were not able to determine a close relationship of Zhangsolvidae to any family of Stratiomyomorpha, the analysis further substantiates placement in this infraorder. The monophyly of Zhangsolvidae is defined by several features, the most striking being the unique development within Stratiomyomorpha of an elongate proboscis. A similar proboscis has developed convergently in assorted orthorrhaphous Brachycera, i.e. within Acroceridae, Apioceridae, Bombyliidae, Myiidae, Nemestrinidae, Tabanidae and Vermileonidae, where it is always associated with flower-visiting behaviour. As such, the proboscis in Zhangsolvidae has significant evolutionary implications, discussed elsewhere (E. Peñalver et al., in preparation).
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